# organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## K. Anitha, S. Athimoolam and R. K. Rajaram\*

Department of Physics, Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai 625 021, India

Correspondence e-mail: rkrsopmku@yahoo.co.in

#### **Key indicators**

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 K Mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.007 Å Disorder in solvent or counterion R factor = 0.072 wR factor = 0.237 Data-to-parameter ratio = 12.7

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

connect to the lone oxygen of two inversion-related picrate

# The asymmetric unit of the title compound, $C_5H_{11}NO_2S$ . $C_5H_{12}NO_2S^+ \cdot C_6H_2N_3O_7^-$ , is composed of two crystallographically independent methionine residues and a picrate anion. The methionine and protonated methioninium residues are bonded through a strong $O-H \cdot \cdot \cdot O$ hydrogen bond, and an inversion-related DL1 head-to-tail sequence along the *a* axis is observed in the zwitterion. The amino groups of two residues

anions. Hydrophobic layers are observed in the y = 0 plane.

### Comment

Methionine, an essential amino acid, is one of the two sulfurcontaining amino acids. The side chain is quite hydrophobic and methionine is usually found buried within proteins. Methionine reacts with adenosine triphosphate to form Sadenosyl methionine, a potent donor of methyl groups in the body (Jain, 1994) and contributes to the synthesis of many important substances, such as choline. The crystal structures of L-methionine (Torii & Iitaka, 1973), DL-methionine ( $\alpha$ -form) (Mathieson, 1952), bis(DL-methionine) dihydrogen phosphate (Bahadur, 1992), L-methionine L-methioninium perchlorate monohydrate (Sridhar et al., 2002), bis(L-methioninium) sulfate (Srinivasan et al., 2001), L-methioninium nitrate (Pandiarajan et al., 2002) and bis(DL-methioninium) sulfate (Ramaswamy et al., 2004) have been reported. As part of a study of hydrogen bonding of picrate complexes of amino acids, a complex of DL-methionine with picric acid is reported.



The asymmetric unit of the title compound, (I), contains two crystallographically independent methionine residues and a picrate anion (Fig. 1). The S<sup> $\delta$ </sup> atom in both methionine residues and the C<sup> $\beta$ </sup> atom of residue A are disordered. The backbone conformation angles  $\psi^1$  and  $\psi^2$  are *cis* and *trans*, respectively, for both the residues. The side-chain conformation angles  $\chi^1/\chi^2/\chi^3$  are *trans/trans/gauche* I and *gauche* II/ *gauche* I/gauche I for residues A and B, respectively.

The picrate anion plays an important role in forming hydrogen bonds. In the picrate anion, removal of a proton leads to a shortening of the C–O bond and lengthening of adjacent C–C bond distances as in many other picrate complexes. In most picrates the *ortho*-related nitro groups are rotated further out of the molecular plane than the *para*-nitro substituent (Anitha *et al.*, 2004; Smith *et al.*, 2004). These nitro

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

# DL-Methionine DL-methioninium picrate

Received 21 November 2005 Accepted 23 November 2005 Online 3 December 2005 groups are commonly involved in hydrogen bonding. In the present case, one of the *ortho*-nitro groups is twisted from the plane of the ring. Such twisting of these nitro groups is independent of C–N bond distances (Soriano-Garcia *et al.*, 1978; Srikrishnan *et al.*, 1980). The nitro O atoms, not involved in hydrogen bonding, have large  $U_{\rm eq}$  values.

The two methionine residues are linked through a strong asymmetric O-H···O hydrogen bond forming a dimer (Table 2 and Fig. 2). For residue A, two two-centered and one chelated three-centered hydrogen bonds are observed, leading to a class II hydrogen-bonding pattern in addition to an inversion-related DL1 head-to-tail sequence. The amino groups of two residues are connected through the lone oxygen of a picrate anion and the amino group of residue A connects to O atoms of the same picrate, leading to a chelated threecentered hydrogen bond. Three two-centered hydrogen bonds are observed in residue B, leading to a class I hydrogenbonding pattern. The amino group of residue B connects two different picrate anions. It also connects to the carboxylate oxygen of inversion-related residue A and the amino group of residue A connects the O atom of residue B, leading to a ring. Hydrophobic layers are observed in the y = 0 plane.

## **Experimental**

The title compound was crystallized from a mixture of DL-methionine and picric acid in the stoichiometric ratio of 2:1 at room temperature by the technique of slow evaporation.

#### Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{l} C_{5}H_{11}NO_{2}S \cdot C_{5}H_{12}NO_{2}S^{+} - \\ C_{6}H_{2}N_{3}O_{7}^{-} \\ M_{r} = 527.53 \\ \text{Triclinic, } P\overline{1} \\ a = 7.076 (1) \text{ Å} \\ b = 12.102 (1) \text{ Å} \\ c = 14.895 (2) \text{ Å} \\ \alpha = 103.90 (1)^{\circ} \\ \beta = 97.04 (2)^{\circ} \\ \gamma = 101.73 (2)^{\circ} \\ \gamma = 101.73 (2)^{\circ} \\ V = 1192.4 (3) \text{ Å}^{3} \\ Z = 2 \\ D_{x} = 1.469 \text{ Mg m}^{-3} \end{array}$ 

#### Data collection

Nonius MACH3 four-circle diffractometer  $\omega$ -2 $\theta$  scans Absorption correction:  $\psi$  scan (North *et al.*, 1968)  $T_{min} = 0.943$ ,  $T_{max} = 0.975$ 4940 measured reflections 4217 independent reflections 2516 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

#### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$   $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.072$   $wR(F^2) = 0.237$  S = 1.034217 reflections 331 parameters H-atom parameters constrained  $D_m = 1.460 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$   $D_m$  measured by flotation in a mixture of carbon tetrachloride and xylene Mo K $\alpha$  radiation Cell parameters from 25 reflections  $\theta = 6.8-14.2^{\circ}$   $\mu = 0.29 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 293 (2) K Block, yellow  $0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$ 

 $\begin{aligned} R_{\rm int} &= 0.014 \\ \theta_{\rm max} &= 25.0^{\circ} \\ h &= -1 \rightarrow 8 \\ k &= -14 \rightarrow 14 \\ l &= -17 \rightarrow 17 \\ 3 \text{ standard reflections} \\ \text{frequency: 60 min} \\ \text{intensity decay: none} \end{aligned}$ 

$$\begin{split} w &= 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1091P)^2 \\ &+ 0.8526P] \\ \text{where } P &= (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3 \\ (\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.001 \\ \Delta\rho_{\text{max}} &= 0.80 \text{ e } \text{ Å}^{-3} \\ \Delta\rho_{\text{min}} &= -0.56 \text{ e } \text{ Å}^{-3} \end{split}$$



#### Figure 1

A view of (I), with the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 25% probability level. Only the major disorder components are shown.

#### Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

O1A-C11	1.236 (5)	O2A-C21	1.218 (5)
O1B-C11	1.263 (5)	O2B-C21	1.276 (5)
O1A-C11-O1B	125.3 (4)	O2A - C21 - O2B	126.1 (4)
O1A-C11-C12	120.1 (4)	O2A-C21-C22	121.3 (4)
O1B-C11-C12	114.6 (3)	O2B-C21-C22	112.6 (4)
O1A-C11-C12-N11	13.2 (6)	C23-C24-S2-C25	74.4 (11)
O1B-C11-C12-N11	-166.7(4)	O3-N1-C2-C3	19.9 (7)
N11-C12-C132-C14	145.1 (6)	O2-N1-C2-C1	23.2 (7)
C132-C14-S1-C15	42.8 (14)	O4-N2-C4-C3	-1.5(7)
O2A-C21-C22-N21	-2.4(5)	O5-N2-C4-C5	-2.6(7)
O2B-C21-C22-N21	176.6 (3)	O6-N3-C6-C5	6.8 (8)
N21-C22-C23-C24	-80.0(6)	O7-N3-C6-C1	10.8 (9)
C22-C23-C24-S22	69.9 (15)		

Table 2			
Hydrogen-bond	geometry	(Å,	°).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$O2B - H2B \cdots O1B^{i}$	0.82	1.66	2.457 (5)	163
$N11 - H11A \cdots O1A^{i}$	0.89	2.11	2.901 (5)	147
$N11 - H11B \cdot \cdot \cdot O2A^{ii}$	0.89	2.06	2.868 (4)	151
N11−H11C···O1	0.89	2.06	2.861 (5)	149
N11−H11C···O2	0.89	2.26	2.928 (5)	131
$N21 - H21A \cdot \cdot \cdot O4^{iii}$	0.89	2.57	3.062 (5)	115
$N21 - H21B \cdot \cdot \cdot O1A^{ii}$	0.89	2.07	2.955 (4)	174
$N21 - H21C \cdots O1$	0.89	1.97	2.820 (5)	160
Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 2.	-x, -y+1,	-z + 1; (ii)	-x + 1, -y + 1	, -z + 1; (iii)

The S atom of both residues and the  $C\beta$  of the methionine residue were found to be disordered. Hence two more sets of data, with two different crystals selected from different crops, were collected. Disorder was also observed from these two data sets. During refinement with isotropic displacement parameters, the occupancy of



Figure 2

Packing diagram of (I) viewed down the a axis. Hydrogen bonds are drawn as dashed lines. Only the major disorder components are shown.

disordered atoms in both residues were refined. These site-occupancy factors were then fixed as S1 0.55, S11 0.21, S12 0.24, C131 0.35, C132 0.65, S2 0.55, S21 0.10 and S22 0.35 during anisotropic refinement. The final refinements were performed with only one set of data. All H atoms were placed in geometrically calculated positions [0.89 (NH), 0.82 (OH), 0.93 and 0.98 (CH), 0.97 (CH<sub>2</sub>) and 0.96 Å (CH<sub>3</sub>)] and constrained to ride on their parent atoms with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5(1.2 \text{ for CH and CH}_2) U_{eq}(C,N,O)$ .

Data collection: CAD-4 EXPRESS (Enraf–Nonius, 1994); cell refinement: CAD-4 EXPRESS; data reduction: XCAD4 (Harms &

Wocadlo, 1995); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXTL/PC* (Bruker, 2000); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL/PC*; molecular graphics: *PLATON* (Spek, 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997).

The authors thank the Department of Science and Technology, Government of India for establishing a Single Crystal Diffractometer facility at the School of Physics, Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai through the FIST programme.

### References

- Anitha, K., Sridhar, B. & Rajaram, R. K. (2004). Acta Cryst. E60, 01530-01532. Bahadur, S. A. (1992). PhD thesis, Madurai Kamaraj University, India.
- Bruker (2000). SHELXTL. Version 6.10. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Enraf-Nonius (1994). CAD-4 EXPRESS. Version 5.1/1.2. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Harms, K. & Wocadlo, S. (1995). XCAD4. University of Marburg. Germany. Jain, J. L. (1994). Fundamentals of Biochemistry, 4th ed. New Delhi: S. Chand and Company Ltd.
- Mathieson, A. McL. (1952). Acta Cryst. 5, 332-341.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351– 359.
- Pandiarajan, S., Sridhar, B. & Rajaram, R. K. (2002). Acta Cryst. E58, 0882– 0884.
- Ramaswamy, S., Sridhar, B., Ramakrishnan, V. & Rajaram, R. K. (2004). Acta Cryst. E60, o1691–o1693.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Smith, G., Wermuth, U. D. & Healy, P. C. (2004). Acta Cryst. E60, o1800– o1803.
- Soriano-Garcia, M., Srikrishnan, T. & Parthasarathy, R. (1978). 11th International Crystallography Congress, Warsaw, Poland, Abstract s114. Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7–13.
- Sridhar, B., Srinivasan, N., Dalhus, B. & Rajaram, R. K. (2002). Acta Cryst.
- E58, 0779-781.
- Srikrishnan, T., Soriano-Garcia, M. & Parthasarathy, R. (1980). Z. Kristallogr. 151, 317–323.
- Srinivasan, N., Sridhar, B. & Rajaram, R. K. (2001). Acta Cryst. E57, 0746– 0748.
- Torii, K. & Iitaka, Y. (1973). Acta Cryst. B29, 2799-2807.